

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2004
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S113799

D037761 Fourth Appellate District,
Division One

ELSNER v. UVEGES

Opinion filed: Affirmed in full with directions

Majority Opinion by Werdegarr, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter,
Chin, Brown, Moreno, JJ.

S118450

B159333 Second Appellate District,
Division Seven

LONG BEACH, CITY OF v. DEPARTMENT OF
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

Opinion by Chin, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Baxter, Werdegarr,
Brown, Moreno, JJ.
Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.

S128628

B165638 Second Appellate District,
B166009 Division Eight
B170564
B170629

ALCH v. S.C. (TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT)

Time extended to grant or deny review

January 24, 2005

S128638

E034087 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Two

PEOPLE v. JILES

Time extended to grant or deny review

to January 19, 2005

S128682

B169994 Second Appellate District,
Division Two

ROSOFF v. SLOTKIN

Time extended to grant or deny review

to January 20, 2005.

S128808

E036713 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Two

MAUZEY (RONALD) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

January 25, 2005

S128811

D045079 Fourth Appellate District,
Division One

THOMPSON (RUFUS) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to January 25, 2005

S128911

B178444 Second Appellate District,
Division Five

TREADWAY (BRUCE) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to January 31, 2005.

S128952

E036758 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Two

GORDON (ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 1, 2005.

S128967

B165551 Second Appellate District,
Division Six

PEOPLE v. O'NEAL

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 2, 2005.

S128990

E036786 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Two

CUMMINS (JEFFREY) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 2, 2005.

S129042

C044795 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SCHNEIDER

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 3, 2005.

S129092

H027157 Sixth Appellate District

COSTELLA (DAVID LOUIS) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 4, 2005.

S129133

H025873 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VY

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 8, 2005.

S129168

A108162 First Appellate District,
Division One

V. (FATIMA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 10, 2005

S129188E036811 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Two

RAMIREZ (RODRIGO) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 1, 2005

S029301

PEOPLE v. TULK (JAMES D.)

Extension of time granted

to February 22, 2005 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Joel Kirshenbaum's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 2/19/2005.

S033360

PEOPLE v. WALLACE (KEONE)

Extension of time denied

to file respondent's brief.

S042698

PEOPLE v. JURADO (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

to February 18, 2005 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Mark Hammond's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 2/18/2005. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S044739

PEOPLE v. BANKSTON (ANTHONY G.)

Extension of time granted

to February 18, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Jana J. Clark's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 9/19/2005.

S050583

PEOPLE v. HOWARD (DEMETRIUS)

Extension of time granted

to February 18, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S056997

PEOPLE v. WHISENHUNT (MICHAEL M.)

Extension of time granted

to February 17, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S067519

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (KEITH)

Extension of time granted

to February 18, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief.

S107508

VALDEZ (ALFREDO R.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to January 18, 2005 to file the return to the order to show cause to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Carl N. Henry's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 3/15/2005.

S125502

C043329 Third Appellate District

CONNERLY v. STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

Extension of time granted

to January 20, 2005 for real party in interest (California Business Council) to file the reply brief on the merits.

S127176
C043253 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. POKOVICH
Counsel appointment order filed

Hayes Gable is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.
Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S030553

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE)
Order filed

Appellant's application for leave to file opening brief in excess of 95,200 words is granted.

S128060

BALU (ARVIND) ON H.C.
Request denied

The application of petitioner for extension of time to serve and file petitioner's reply to the Attorney General's informal response is hereby denied.

S129954

BRIERTON v. S.C.(PEOPLE)
Order filed

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District.

S129985
A108436 First Appellate District,
Division Four

HAYNES v. S.C. (BOARD)
Transferred to CA 1

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.